

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	EY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/828,518	04/06/2001	Woo Sik Yoo	M-8608 US	6248		
7:	590 09/18/2002					
THEODORE P. LOPEZ MACHPERSON KWORK CHEN & HEID LLP 2402 MICHELSON DRIVE SUITE 210			EXAMINER			
			LUK, OLIVIA T			
IRVINE, CA	92612	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ŕ		2812				
		DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ • <del></del>		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/828,5	3,518 YOO, WOO SIK			
		Examin	r	Art Unit		
		Olivia T L		2812		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the	e cover shet with the	correspondence addres	ss	
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C siol (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no evican.  s, a reply within the state period will apply and we state and the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  on the mailing date of this commu	nication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>12 September</u>	<u>2002</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is	non-final.			
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon of Claims	allowance excep Inder <i>Ex parte</i> Q	t for formal matters, uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the me	erits is	
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation				
	(a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are with		ideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		ideration.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.			
	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	he drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2002 is/		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner		
-	Applicant may not request that any objection					
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on _			, ,		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required			•		
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
riority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 🛚 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).		
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1	. Certified copies of the priority docum	ments have beer	received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationale the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT f	Rule 17.2(a)).		е	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for don		•		ication	
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language the translation of the foreign language the translation to the translation of the tr	e provisional app	olication has been red	ceived.	·	
tachment(s		· •				
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	)) (ș) <u>2</u> .		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		ce Action Summary	1	Part of Paper	No 11	

Application/Control Number: 09/828,518

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carson (4,476,094).

In re claim 1, Carson discloses introducing vapor-phase chemicals into a reactor with sufficiently supplied energy to cause a reaction in the reactor (col. 3, lines 50-55), exhausting gases from the reactor resulting from the reaction (col. 3, lines 65-68 and col. 4, lines 1-5), separating a first gas from the exhausted gases (col. 4, lines 4-10), purifying the first gas (col. 7, lines 34-41 and col. 8, lines 1-6), and introducing the first gas into the reactor (col. 8, lines 15-25).

In re claim 3, Carson discloses the first gas comprises H<sub>2</sub> (col. 3, line 52).

In re claim 4, Carson discloses the vapor-phase chemicals comprise  $H_2$  (col. 3, line 52).

In re claim 5, Carson discloses the first gas comprises between 80% to 90% of the quantity of the H<sub>2</sub> introduced in the reactor (col. 3, lines 51-53).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2812

3. Claims 2, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson (4,476,094) in view of Gadgil (5,284,519).

In re claim 2, Carson is applied supra but fails to teach the hydrogen recycle process with thin film deposition. Gadgil teaches thin film deposition in a chemical vapor reactor that uses gases that flow in and out discloses depositing a thin film layer on a substrate positioned in the reactor (col. 16, lines 21-42).

In re claim 6, Carson is applied supra, but fails to teach the sufficient supplied energy comprises an RF low frequency power energy level of between about 0.318 watts/cm² to about 3.18 watts/cm². It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to supply energy at this level, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

In re claim 7, Carson is applied supra, but fails to teach the reactor comprises a tapered outer shell surrounding a tapered susceptor. Gadgil teaches the tapered susceptor surrounded by a tapered outer shell. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a tapered shape because this shape is inherently stable and offers an important advantage of reduced particulate formation on the deposition surface and thus higher quality of the deposited film (col. 12, lines 10-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/828,518

Art Unit: 2812

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References not applied are considered state of the art in the area of semiconductor manufacture.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olivia T Luk whose telephone number is 703-305-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on 7AM to 4PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 703-308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

OTL

September 16, 2002

John F. Nickling

Technology Contar 2800

Page 4